UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,136	06/09/2005	Takeshi Kimura	05361/GH	1531
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			EXAMINER	
			SINCLAIR, DAVID M	
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/538,136	KIMURA ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID M. SINCLAIR	2831			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Rej</u>	ected (non-final)			
(1) <u>DAVID M. SINCLAIR</u> .	(3) <u>MARSHALL CHICK</u> .				
(2) <u>DIEGO F. GUTIERREZ</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 11 August 2008	Time: <u>12:23 PM EST</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:  n\a					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:  n\a					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
/Diego Gutierrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2831					
(A <sub>2</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Chick that the Petition to withdraw finality filed on 07/29/2008 has been treated as a Request for reconsideration of the finality of the previous action. This request for reconsideration has been granted and the finality has been withdrawn. A new non-final office action has been mailed.